ĩ.

HDP/SB/21 based on PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

			·			
BLP E		Application Number	09/651,792			
FRANSMITTAL	_	Filing Date	August 30, 2000			
APR 1 6 2007 B FORM		Inventor(s)	Hongbin JI et al 2616			
(to be used in all correspondence after	initial filing)	Group Art Unit				
TRADEMARK.		Examiner Name	Hnah N. Nguyen			
		Attorney Docket Number	129250-001022/US			
	ENCL	OSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form		ment Papers Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group			
Fee Attached		to the Official Draftsperson and Sheets of Formal Drawing(s)	LETTER SUBMITTING APPEAL BRIEF AND APPEAL BRIEF (w/clean version of pending claims)			
Amendment	Licensi	ing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Notice of Appeal, Brief, Reply Brief)			
☐ After Final	Petition	า	Proprietary Information			

Fee Attached			e Official Draftsperson and ets of Formal Drawing(s)	LETTER SUBMITTING APPEAL BRIEF AND APPEAL BRIEF (w/clean version of pending claims)				
Amendment		Licensing-re	elated Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Notice of Appeal, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After Final		Petition		Proprietary Information				
Affidavits/decla	aration(s)	Petition to 0 Provisional		Status Letter				
Extension of Time	Request	Change of and POA	Correspondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Check No. 1310 for \$500				
Express Abandonr	ment Request	☐ Terminal Di☐ Request for						
☐ Information Disclos	sure Statement	CD, Numbe	er of CD(s)					
Certified Copy of F Document(s)	Priority	Remarks						
Response to Missi Incomplete Applica	0							
Response to Missi Parts under 37 CF 1.52 or 1.53								
	SIGNA	TURE OF APP	LICANT, ATTORNEY, O	RAGE	ENT			
Firm · <i>or</i> Individual name	CAPITOL PATENT TRADEMARK LAV	& V FUM, PLLC	Attorney Name John E. Curtin		Reg. No. 37,602			
Signature				•				
Date	April 16, 2007							

Complete if Known

PTO/SB/17 (12-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TP FEE TRANSMITTAL						Complete if Known											
%\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\						Application Number 09/651,792											
್ರೌ\ for FY 2007							Filing	Date		Augu	August 30, 2000						
PR 1 6 2007							<u>_</u>	First Named Inventor Hongbin JI									
Effective #101/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.																	
☐ Applie of claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27										nh J. Nguyen							
TRADENA						Art Unit 2616											
TOTAL AI	MOUNT	OF PA	YME	NT	(\$)	500.	00			Attorn	ey Dock	et No.	1292	50-001022/US		<i>_</i> _	
	METHO	DD OF PA	YMEN	NT (chec	ck all	that app	ly)		-				FEE C	ALCULATION (co	ontinued)		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) ☑ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other ☐ None						3. ADDITIONAL FEES											
⊠ Check	☐ Credi	it card [oney L rder	70	iner 🗀	, N	one		Large Entity Small Entity							
☑ Deposit A	Account:									Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee De	escription I	ee Paid	
Deposit						1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late	filing fee or oath						
Account	5	0-3777								1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late	provisional filing fee		
Number	Number						1053	130	1053	130	or cover sheet. Non-English spe	acification	\vdash				
Deposit										1812	2,520	1812	2,520		est for reexamination		
Account CAPITOL PATENT & TRADEMARK LAW FIRM, PLLC Name					1804	920*	1804	920*		sting publication of SIR prior to							
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☑ Credit any overpayments						1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting pub Examiner action							
☑ Charge ar	ny additio	nal fee(s)) durir	ng the p	ende	ency of t	his	applicati	ion	1251	120	2251	60		 		
☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account.							1252	450	2252	225	Extension for reply within first month Extension for reply within second month						
		FEE	CALC	CULATI	ON		_			1253	1020	2253	510	Extension for re			
	ŀ	NG FEE							1254	1,590	2254	795	Extension for re				
Large Entity Fee Fee		III Entity Fee						1255	2,160	2255	1080	Extension for reply within fifth month					
Code (\$)	Code		<u>, et</u>					Fee Pale	d	1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appea			
1011 300	2011	150	· ·					1402	500	2402	250	Filing a brief in s	ing a brief in support of an appeal 50				
1012 200	1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				1403	1000	2403	500	Request for oral	· -					
1013 200						1452	500	2452	250		evive – unavoidable						
1014 300						1453	1500	2453	750 700		o revive – unintentional						
1005 200 2005 100 Provisional filling fee				1501 1502	1400 800	2501 2502	700 400	Design issue fee	ue fee (or reissue)								
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0					1460	130	1460	130	_	s to the Commissioner							
2 EVTDA	CL AIRA	CEEC F	OP '	ITH IT	V A1	ND BE	100	1115		1807	50	1807	50		cessing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q)		
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Extra Fee from Fee						1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt							
Total Claims		-20 **	- 9	laims prev.	χ	below	٦	= 0	1								
Independent			pa	id for	ו		╡		\dashv	8021	40	8021	40	per property (tim properties)	patent assignment nes number of		
Ctaims Multiple		-3 **		id for	×		\exists	= 0	_	1809	790	2809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))			
Dependent					l			= 0		1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invention to be			
Large Entity Fee F		Small En								1801	790	2801	395	examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b)) Request for Continued Examination			
			Fee (\$)	Fee D	escri	ption					I			(RCE)		 	
1202 5	0 2	202	25			xcess of				Other fee (specify)							
			•							*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)500							
			180	-		-		m, if not p		1			MINATION FEES				
1204 2	00 2	204	100	origina		e independent claims over stent		1111	500	2111	250	•	Utility Search Fee				
1205 5	0 2	205 :	25					cess of 2	0 and	1112 1113	100 300	2112 2113	50 150	Design Search Fe		 	
	' -			over o	rigina	al patent				1114	500	2113	250	Reissue Search		 	
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0		1311	200	2311	100	Utility Examinati											
(4)					1312	130	2312	65	Design Examina								
						1313	160	2313	80	Plant Examination	ant Examination Fee						
						1314	600	2314	300	Reissue Examin							
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above						<u></u>				SU	BTOTAL (4) (\$)0						
SUBMITTED E	зү													Com	plete (if applicable)		
Name (Print/Ty	rpe)	John E	. Curti	in		1	Re (A)	nistration torney/Ap	nt)					(703) 266-3330			
Signature								Date	April 16, 2007								
WARNING: Information on this form may become p																	
		include	d on	this for	m.	Provide	cre	edit card	l infor	mation a	nd auth	orizatio	n on P	гон should not i го-2038.	DG.		

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

09/651,792

Filing Date:

August 30, 2000

Applicants:

Hongbin JI et al.

Group Art Unit:

2616

Examiner:

Justin M. Philpott

Title:

WITH **OVERBOOKING** ADMISSION CONTROL CALL

SUPPORT AND CELL LOSS RATIO AND CELL DELAY

VARIATION GUARANTEE

Attorney Docket: 129250-001022/US

APPLICANTS'/APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

April 16, 2007

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

† Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
APPE	LLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL1
I.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST
II.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
III.	STATUS OF CLAIMS
IV.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS
V.	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER
VI. VII.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL 3 ARGUMENTS 4 A. The Section 102 rejections 4 B. The Section 103 rejections 5
VIII.	CLAIMS APPENDIX7
IX.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX
Χ.	RELATED PROCEEDING APPENDIX

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST:

The real party in interest in this appeal is Lucent Technologies Inc.

Assignment of the application was submitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark

Office and recorded at Reel 011140, Frame 0401.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES:

There are no known appeals or interferences that will affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this Appeal.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS:

Claims 1-5, 8-13, 39-43 and 46-52 are pending in the application, with claims 1 and 39 being written in independent form.

Claims 1-5, 8-12, 39-43, 46-50 and 52 remain finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) and claims 13 and 51 remain finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 39-43 and 46-52 are being appealed.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS:

A Request for Reconsideration ("Request") was filed on January 11, 2007. In an Advisory Action dated February 8, 2007, the Examiner stated that the Request was considered but did not place the application in condition for allowance.

04/17/2007 MAHMED1 00000076 09651792 01 FC:1402 500.00 OP

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER:

(i). Overview of the Subject Matter of the Independent Claims

The present invention is directed at call admission control (CAC) for asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) or Internet Protocol (IP)-based networks that incorporate differentiated services (i.e., quality-of-service (QoS) levels). More specifically, independent claim 1 reads as follows (specification citations follow in parenthesis):

1. A method for controlling call admission to a communication system comprising:

assigning a unique overbooking factor to each of a plurality of service classes, thereby ensuring no two service classes have an identical overbooking factor;

determining an effective bandwidth for each class based in part on said assigned overbooking factor and either a cell delay variation for constant bit rate service classes or a cell loss ratio for variable bit rate service classes;

determining a value of a free bandwidth in said communication system based in part on said determined effective bandwidth for each service class; and

admitting or rejecting a call based on said determined value for said free bandwidth.

(see specification, page 6, lines 3-19; page 8, lines 5-8; page 9, lines 1-4; page 11, lines 2-14, for example).

Independent claim 39 reads as follows:

- 39. An access terminal for performing call admission control for a communications system, comprising:
 - a multiplexer/demultiplexer unit; and
- a programmed processor, coupled to said multiplexer/demultiplexer unit, operable to:

assign a unique overbooking factor to each of a plurality of service classes, thereby ensuring no two service classes have an identical overbooking factor;

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

determine an effective bandwidth for each class based in part on said assigned overbooking factor and either a cell delay variation for constant bit rate service classes or a cell loss for variable bit rate service classes;

determine a value of a free bandwidth in said communication system based in part on said determined effective bandwidth for each service class; and

admit or reject a call based on said determined value for said free bandwidth.

(see specification, page 6, lines 3-19; page 8, lines 5-8; page 9, lines 1-4; page 11, lines 2-14; page 20, lines 6-21; page 21, line 20 to page 22, line 1, for example).

In order to make the overview set forth above concise the disclosure that has been included, or referred to, above only represents a portion of the total disclosure set forth in the Specification that supports the independent claims.

(ii). The Remainder of the Specification Also Supports the Claims

The Appellants note that there may be additional disclosure in the Specification that also supports the independent and dependent claims. Further, by referring to the disclosure above the Appellants do not represent that this is the only evidence that supports the independent claims nor do Appellants necessarily represent that this disclosure can be used to fully interpret the claims of the present invention. Instead, this disclosure is an overview of the claimed subject matter.

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL:

Appellants seek the Board's review and reversal of the rejection of claims 1-5, 8-12, 39-43, 46-50 and 52 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) based on U. S. Patent No. 5,982,748 to Yin et al. ("Yin") and claims 13 and 51 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on Yin in view of U.S. Patent No. 6, 608,815 to Huang et al. ("Huang").

VII. <u>ARGUMENTS:</u>

A. The Section 102 Rejections Based on Yin

The Final Office Action states that claims 1-5, 8, 9, 12, 39-43, 46, 47, 50 and 52 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) based on Yin. Appellants believe the Examiner also intended to include claims 10, 11, 48 and 49 as well. Appellants will proceed on this basis unless the Examiner indicates otherwise. The Appellants respectfully request, however, that the Examiner clarify his position. As for the rejections, the Appellants respectfully disagree for at least the following reasons.

It is respectfully submitted that Yin does not disclose the feature of determining an effective bandwidth for each class of service based in part on an assigned overbooking factor and one of either a cell delay variation or cell loss.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner relies on Yin as disclosing an "effective bandwidth" and directs the Appellants' attention to column 7, lines 25-35 of Yin in support of his position. Appellants respectfully submit, however, that these excerpts do not describe the claimed effective bandwidth. Instead, these excerpts define an allocation factor that is based on an "Actual Usage" parameter and/or a "subscribed bandwidth", A(i), parameter. Appellants submit that neither parameter is akin to, nor suggestive of, the claimed effective bandwidth.

More specifically, on pages 6 through 11 of the present specification the computations of effective bandwidth for CBR and VBR traffic classes are presented. With respect to CBR traffic, it is noted that an effective bandwidth may be computed based on a cell delay variation. Neither the Actual Usage nor the subscribed bandwidth parameters disclosed in Yin appear to be so computed. For example, Yin discloses that the subscribed bandwidth parameter for CBR traffic is derived from "the sum of all PCR [peak cell rate]

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792 Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

values" (column 6, lines 60-65), not from cell delay variations. As such, Yin represents the known method of using cell rates to compute a CBR bandwidth parameter. However, Yin fails to appreciate that, for CBR traffic, only the cell delay variation needs to be used. This was a discovery of the present inventors (see page 6, lines 13-22).

In the Advisory Action the Examiner refers the Appellants to column 3, line 45 to column 4, line 8 and Table 1 of Yin as disclosing a "subscribed bandwidth" based on "respective booking factors and respective cell variations, cell loss ratio." However, instead of recognizing that cell delay variations may directly be used to compute CBR effective bandwidths, Yin states that the variations are first used to "specify the Peak Cell Rate" (see Yin column 3, lines 63-64) which it then uses to calculate CBR bandwidths.

Because Yin does not disclose each and every feature of the rejected claims, it cannot be a basis for anticipating these claims under §102(e). Accordingly, Appellants respectfully request that the members of the Board reverse the decision of the Examiner, withdraw these rejections and allow claims 1-5, 8-12, 39-43, 46-50 and 52.

B.) The Section 103 Rejections Based on Yin and Huang

Claims 13 and 51 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yin in view of Huang.

Initially it is noted that claim 13 depends from claim 1 and claim 51 depends from claim 39. In addition, it is noted that Huang does not make up for the deficiencies of Yin discussed above.

Accordingly, Appellants respectfully request that the members of the Board reverse the decision of the Examiner, withdraw these rejections and allow claims 13 and 51.

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792 Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

Conclusion:

Appellants respectfully request that members of the Board reverse the decision of the Examiner and allow claims 1-5, 8-13, 39-43 and 46-52.

The Commissioner is authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3777 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

Capitol Patent & Traglemark Law Firm, PLLC

By:

John D. Curtin, Reg. No. 37,602

P.O. Box 1995 Vienna, VA 22183 (703)266-3330

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US



VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

A method for controlling call admission to a communication system comprising:

assigning a unique overbooking factor to each of a plurality of service classes, thereby ensuring no two service classes have an identical overbooking factor;

determining an effective bandwidth for each class based in part on said assigned overbooking factor and either a cell delay variation for constant bit rate service classes or a cell loss ratio for variable bit rate service classes;

determining a value of a free bandwidth in said communication system based in part on said determined effective bandwidth for each service class; and

admitting or rejecting a call based on said determined value for said free bandwidth.

2. The method according to claim 1, wherein said step of determining a free bandwidth further comprises:

determining a maximum bandwidth at a port in the communication system; and

subtracting at least a portion of the effective bandwidth for each class from said maximum bandwidth.

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792 Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

3. The method according to claim 2, wherein said step of subtracting

further comprises:

dividing the effective bandwidth for each class by its assigned

overbooking factor to produce a result; and

subtracting said result from said maximum bandwidth.

4. The method according to claim 1, wherein said step of admitting or

rejecting further comprises:

admitting said call if said free bandwidth is greater than zero.

5. The method according to claim 4, wherein said step of admitting or

rejecting further comprises:

rejecting said call if said free bandwidth is less than zero.

6. (Cancelled).

7. (Cancelled).

8. The method according to claim 1, wherein said variable bit rate

classes include a real time variable bit rate class.

9. The method according to claim 1, wherein said variable bit rate

classes include a non-real time variable bit rate class.

10. The method according to claim 1, wherein said assigned

overbooking factor has a default value indicating no overbooking.

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

The method according to claim 10, wherein said default value is 1. 11.

The method according to claim 1, wherein said communication 12.

system is an ATM network.

The method according to claim 1, wherein said communication 13.

system is an IP network.

performing bookkeeping 14. (Withdrawn) A method for

communication system when a new connection setup is requested comprising:

calculating an effective bandwidth of the new connection to meet a first

predetermined criteria;

calculating a variance for a traffic load of the new connection;

calculating a required bandwidth for all calls in the system to meet the

first predetermined criteria based in part on said effective bandwidth and said

variance of the new connection;

calculating an effective bandwidth of the new connection to meet a

second predetermined criteria;

calculating a required bandwidth for all calls in the system to meet the

second predetermined criteria;

calculating a required system bandwidth based on a maximum value for

said required bandwidth for all calls in the system to meet the first

predetermined criteria and said required bandwidth for all calls in the system

to meet the second predetermined criteria;

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

comparing said required system bandwidth to a maximum bandwidth of said system; and

admitting or rejecting said call based on said comparison.

15. (Withdrawn) The method according claim 14, further to comprising:

updating state variables of the system if said call is admitted.

16. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 14, wherein said step of admitting or rejecting further comprises:

admitting said call if said required system bandwidth is less than said maximum bandwidth.

17. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 16, wherein said step of admitting or rejecting further comprises:

rejecting said call if said required system bandwidth is greater than said maximum bandwidth.

- 18. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 14, wherein said first predetermined criteria is a cell loss ratio.
- 19. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 18, wherein said second predetermined criteria is a cell delay variation.

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

(Withdrawn) The method according to claim 14, wherein said step 20.

of calculating an effective bandwidth of the new connection to meet a second

predetermined criteria further comprises:

calculating an effective bandwidth of all calls in the system to meet the

second predetermined criteria.

21. (Withdrawn) The method according claim 20, further to

comprising:

updating state variables of the system if said call is admitted.

22. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 20, wherein said step

of admitting or rejecting further comprises:

admitting said call if said required system bandwidth is less than said

maximum bandwidth.

(Withdrawn) The method according to claim 22, wherein said step 23.

of admitting or rejecting further comprises:

rejecting said call if said required system bandwidth is greater than said

maximum bandwidth.

24. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 20, wherein said first

predetermined criteria is a cell loss ratio.

(Withdrawn) The method according to claim 24, wherein said 25.

second predetermined criteria is a cell delay variation.

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

(Withdrawn) The method according to claim 14, wherein said 26.

communication system is a wireless communication system.

27. (Withdrawn) A method for performing bookkeeping

communication system when an existing call requests to be released from the

system comprising:

calculating an effective bandwidth of the call requested to be released

that satisfies a first predetermined criteria;

calculating a variance for a traffic load of the call requested to be

released;

calculating a required bandwidth for all remaining calls in the system

that satisfies the first predetermined criteria;

calculating an effective bandwidth of the call requesting to be released

and all remaining calls in the system that satisfies a second predetermined

criteria;

calculating a required bandwidth for all remaining calls in the system

that satisfies the second predetermined criteria;

allocating a required system bandwidth based on a maximum value for

said required bandwidth for all remaining calls in the system that satisfies the

first predetermined criteria and said required bandwidth for all remaining calls

in the system that satisfies the second predetermined criteria; and

releasing the call requesting to be released.

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

28. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 27, wherein said step

of calculating a variance further comprises:

updating state variables of the system based on said call requesting to be

released.

29. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 27, wherein said first

predetermined criteria is a cell loss ratio.

30. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 29, wherein said

second predetermined criteria is a cell delay variation.

31. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 27, wherein said

communication system is an ATM network.

32. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 27, wherein said

communication system is an IP network.

33. (Withdrawn) A method for performing bookkeeping in a

communication system when an existing call requests to be released from the

system comprising:

determining an effective bandwidth that satisfies a first predetermined

criteria for the call requesting to be released;

calculating a variance for a traffic load of the call requesting to be

released for said first predetermined criteria;

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

calculating an effective bandwidth of the call requesting to be released

that satisfies a second predetermined criteria;

calculating a variance for a traffic load of the call requesting to be

released for said second predetermined criteria;

calculating a required bandwidth of all remaining calls in the system that

satisfies the first predetermined criteria:

calculating an effective bandwidth of all remaining calls in the system

that satisfies the second predetermined criteria;

allocating a required system bandwidth based on a maximum value for

said required bandwidth for all remaining calls in the system that satisfies the

first predetermined criteria and said required bandwidth for all remaining calls

in the system that satisfies the second predetermined criteria; and

releasing the call requesting to be released.

34. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 33, wherein said step

of calculating a variance for a traffic load of the call requested to be released for

said second predetermined criteria further comprises:

updating state variables of the system based on said call requesting to be

released.

35. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 33, wherein said first

predetermined criteria is a cell loss ratio.

U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

(Withdrawn) The method according to claim 35, wherein said 36.

second predetermined criteria is a cell delay variation.

37. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 33, wherein said

communication system is an ATM network.

38. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 33, wherein said

communication system is an IP network.

39. An access terminal for performing call admission control for a

communications system, comprising:

a multiplexer/demultiplexer unit; and

a programmed processor, coupled to said multiplexer/demultiplexer

unit, operable to:

assign a unique overbooking factor to each of a plurality of service

classes, thereby ensuring no two service classes have an identical overbooking

factor;

determine an effective bandwidth for each class based in part on said

assigned overbooking factor and either a cell delay variation for constant bit

rate service classes or a cell loss for variable bit rate service classes;

determine a value of a free bandwidth in said communication system

based in part on said determined effective bandwidth for each service class;

and

admit or reject a call based on said determined value for said free bandwidth.

40. The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said processor is operable to:

determine a maximum bandwidth at a port in the communication system; and

subtract at least a portion of the effective bandwidth for each class from said maximum bandwidth.

41. The access terminal according to claim 40, wherein said processor is operable to:

divide the effective bandwidth for each class by its assigned overbooking factor to produce a result; and

subtract said result from said maximum bandwidth.

42. The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said processor is operable to:

admit said call if said free bandwidth is greater than zero.

43. The access terminal according to claim 42, wherein said processor is operable to:

reject said call if said free bandwidth is less than zero.

44. (Cancelled).

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792

Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

(Cancelled). 45.

46. The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said variable

bit rate classes include a real time variable bit rate class.

The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said variable 47.

bit rate classes include a non-real time variable bit rate class.

48. The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said assigned

overbooking factor has a default value indicating no overbooking.

49. The access terminal according to claim 48, wherein said default

value is 1.

The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said 50.

communication system is an ATM network.

51. The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said

communication system is an IP network.

52. The access terminal according to claim 39, wherein said access

terminal is daisy chained to at least one other access terminal, each of said

access terminals performing said method for controlling call admission

independently of the other.

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL U.S. Application No.: 09/651,792 Atty. Docket: 129250-001022/US

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.